

# **Program Evaluation Plan**

#### **Assessment**

This Program Evaluation Plan (PEP) is used by Adler Graduate School to systematically assess our programs. It involves collecting and critically analyzing information about our activities, program characteristics, and learning outcomes. Its purpose is to make judgments about our program, to improve its effectiveness, and/or to inform programming decisions. In sum, our assessment process includes the systematic collection, review, and use of information for the purpose of improving student learning and development.

## **Program Outcomes**

Program objectives or outcomes (1) reflect current knowledge and projected needs concerning counseling practice in a multicultural and pluralistic society; (2) reflect input from all persons involved in the conduct of the program, including program faculty, current and former students, and personnel in cooperating agencies; (3) address student learning; and (4) are written so they can be evaluated.

Adler Graduate School strives to make our student outcome data accessible and transparent. Student outcomes are a collection of performance indicators and/or statements that clearly state the expected knowledge, skills, attitudes, and competencies that students are expected to acquire at an institution of higher education. We collect and analyze data in three core areas (see Program Outcomes) that informs institutional decision-making, improvement planning, problem identification, curriculum planning, goal setting, faculty development, course revision, program review, and accountability. Data are reviewed by each delivery method individually and in aggregate across delivery methods.

### **Program Outcomes:**

- A. **Student Learning:** Provide state-of-the-art academic programming guided by competency-based standards and outcomes.
  - Student Learning Outcomes:
    - Demonstrate foundational knowledge, including legal and ethical practice, of the counseling profession.
    - Demonstrate understanding and application of theory as it is used in Adlerian assessment, case conceptualization, goal setting, and treatment.
    - Demonstrate the necessary knowledge, skills, attitudes, and integrative competencies to enter into a counseling career focused on working with individuals, families, and groups within diverse communities.
    - Demonstrate personal and professional growth, through the use of supervision and professional dispositions, to recognize
      areas of strength and growth in the development of professional identity.



- B. **Learning Environment:** Provide an active and inclusive learning environment where students utilize self-reflection, feedback, and skill acquisition to gain meaning and generate new knowledge.
- C. **Professional Readiness:** Prepare students to offer quality professional counseling services that focus on transforming society through social interest in action.

Key Performance Indicators are used to measure student learning and are found on our website.

Program Data Point	Assessment Data to be collected	Data Collection Objective		Process
Outcome A: Provide state-of-tl	ne-art academic programming	guided by competency-base	d standards and outcomes.	
A.1 – Student Learning and Performance	(KPIs) – Signature Assessment Data	Data collected in Livetext on an ongoing basis through Key Assessments in courses.	Review of outcome data to make decisions on curriculum changes and student learning.  Goal: 90% of students will meet proficiency in meeting academic competency.	Assessment data pulled from Livetext and Moodle. Review Plans are filled out and action plans implemented (see schedule below).
A.2 – Student Academic Development	<ul> <li>Touchpoint Data from Portfolio Rubrics</li> <li>Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) data from SONIS</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LiveText Portfolio         Rubrics are filled out by         advisors and saved in         advising files. Notes are         kept in SONIS.</li> <li>Registrar collects SAP         data.</li> </ul>	academic/professional progress	Chairs meet with advisors to ensure touchpoint rubrics are being completed and to see if there are any intermittent progress plans to be made.  Teams meet to review SAP data. Action plans created based on data review.



A.3 – Student Professional Development  Outcome B: Provide an active a	and		e Agg	position forms mpleted in LT in the owing courses:  Multicultural Courses (523, 558SU2). Practicum (977SU, 931, 947). Internship [978 (SC); 938, 948, 988 (COUN); 958 (AT)] gregated Data:  /SC – every 2 years UN – every year	• blf-re	Professional dispositional data is collected through LT and aggregated to determine readiness for profession, areas for improved instruction, and/or retention, remediation, or dismissal.	reviewed each year Individual dispositions reviewed in regular faculty meetings.
and generate new knowledge.							
B.1 - Quality of FE Program	1. 2. 3.	survey of academic program.	1. 2. 3.	Data reports from SurveyMonkey Paper evaluations. Exit survey Questions:  (SC): #12 (AT): #17-19 (COUN) #23	2.	We collect site supervisor feedback about our program to inform us of any program improvements that may be needed.  Students formally evaluate practicum and internship supervisors and sites to inform faculty of any modifications needed when placing students at the site and/or support needed.  Aggregated survey data is collected to inform programs of Field Experience course improvements, modifications, or changes needed.	Evaluation of student performance is done at the program level.  Academic programs send out a SurveyMonkey link to Internship sites each Spring to collect Summative Program Data. Data is analyzed during the Comprehensive Program Review.



				Student evaluations of internship sites are done via a paper form. This is collected in Moodle by the Field Experience Coordinators.  Exit Survey data is aggregated and analyzed at the Comprehensive Program Review.
B.2 - Quality of Advising	Exit survey data	Aggregated data on exit survey question on advising collected.	Advisors provide quality advising to ensure academic/professional progress is being made.	Aggregated survey data is reviewed during the comprehensive program review.
B.3 - Demographics & Retention, Persistence, and Completion data	Applications and admission data provided by the admission dept.  Demographic Data reports sent by Registrar.	<ul> <li>Yearly by program:</li> <li>Retention data</li> <li>Persistence data</li> <li>Completion data</li> <li>Demographic data (applicants, students, graduates)</li> <li>Conditional admit data</li> </ul>	The program uses institutional student data on retention, persistence, and completion to inform program improvements and modifications.  Demographic data and conditional admit data are used to evaluate program objectives.	Data is provided to the Director of Assessment, posted on the web (for transparency), and analyzed each year during the Fall assessment meeting.
B.4 - Faculty Performance	SmartEvals	rubric.	Faculty performance is reviewed on an annual basis throughout year (per hire date) by each program Chair to determine areas for improvement, remediations, and/or dismissal.	Faculty performance is reviewed on an annual basis by each program Chair. Rubric given to HR.



Outcome C Prenare students to	on offer quality professional co	nunseling services that focus	on transforming society through socia	Students are sent a SmartEvals link to evaluate their courses and instructors after each course taken. Data is stored in SmartEvals and accessible to Admin and Chairs.
-	1		1	
C.1 – Post Graduate Employment and Professional	' '	Employer data is collected	Aggregated Data collected from employers of graduates as part of	Survey is sent out via SurveyMonkey each
Performance	1. '. '	on an annual basis via	our program evaluation.	Spring. Data is collected
renormance	graduation performance)	SurveyMonkey.	our program evaluation.	and analyzed at the comprehensive program review.
C.2– Post Graduate	Alumni Survey (includes	Alumni Survey is sent out	Aggregated Data collected from	Survey is launched
Employment and	' '	via SurveyMonkey.	alumni and graduates as part of our	yearly for previous
Preparedness (including	licensure, and preparedness)		program evaluation. Data on	Academic School Year.
licensure)		Exit Survey (Preparedness):	employment, service, and licensure	
		(AT) #3, & #15	is collected.	
	opportunities	(SC) #3 & #11		Fuit annual is lannals al
		(COUN) #3 & #22		Exit survey is launched at oral exam. Data is
		Exit Survey (Employment)		analyzed yearly.
		(AT & SC): # 26-28; #13		
		(COUN), #26-28; #24		

	ule			
Counseling		Program Action Plans,		
		Systems, Student		
Art Therapy	aggregate data from PEP	Handbooks, Manuals,	Annual comprehensive review each Summer.	



	Advising, Processes (i.e.,	
School Counseling	admissions).	

**COLLECT:** Data is continuously collected throughout the evaluation process. An exception would be the launch of a survey, which is done within a specific Term.

ANALYZE & PLAN: Data is evaluated, and an action plan is developed on a Program Evaluation Plan (PEP-AF) action form.

**IMPLEMENT**: Resources are obtained, plan is put into action, reported out to stakeholders.

EVEN EVEN ODD ODD ODD EVEN EVEN	Summer	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Winter	Spring
	EVEN	EVEN	ODD	ODD	ODD	ODD	EVEN	EVEN

Outcome A: Provide state-of-t	ne A: Provide state-of-the-art academic programming guided by competency-based standards and outcomes.								
A.1 – Student Learning and Performance	COLLECT	COLLECT	ANALYZE & PLAN SPECIALTY	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	COLLECT	COLLECT	COLLECT	
A.2 – Student Academic Development		ANALYZE & PLAN AS PART OF PROGRAM REVIEW EACH SUMMER SAP DATA TO BE REVIEWED EVERY TERM							
A.3 – Student Professional Development	COLLECT	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	COLLECT	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	
Outcome B: Provide an active meaning and generate new	and inclusive learning environment where students utilize self-reflection, feedback, and skill acquisition to gain knowledge.						on to gain		
B.1 - Quality of FE Program	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	COLLECT	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	COLLECT	
B.2 - Quality of Advising	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	COLLECT	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	COLLECT	
	REVIEW NOTES THROUGHOUT THE YEAR								
B.3 - Retention, persistence, and completion rates	COLLECT	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	COLLECT	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT	COLLECT	
B.4 - Faculty Performance		RE	VIEWED annua	ally throughout yea	ır (per hire date	) by each progra	m Chair		



	AND at annual formal evaluation and throughout year as needed.							
Outcome C. Prepare student	ts to offer quality professional counseling services that focus on transforming society through social interest in							
action.								
C.1 – Internship and Employer Survey	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT		COLLECT/LAUNCH	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT		COLLECT/LAUNCH
C.2 – Post Graduate Employment	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT		COLLECT/LAUNCH	ANALYZE & PLAN	IMPLEMENT		COLLECT/LAUNCH
, ,		EXIT SURVEY DATA COLLECTED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR.						

#### References

Palomba, C. A., & Banta, T. W. (1999). Assessment Essentials: Planning, Implementing, and Improving Assessment in Higher Education. Higher and Adult Education Series. Jossey-Bass, Inc., Publishers, 350 Sansome Street, San Francisco, CA 94104.

Patton, M.Q. (1987). Qualitative Research Evaluation Methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publishers